	FILED
NS:NR F.#2017R00577	2018 FEB 22 PM 4: 07
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	INDICTMENT
- against -	Cr. No. CR 18 - 97
MICHAEL FODER,	(T. 18, U.S.C., §§ 1512(c)(2), 1623, 2 and 3551 et seq.)
Defendant.	CHEN, J.
X	REYES, M.J.
THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:	

#### INTRODUCTION TO ALL COUNTS

1. At all times relevant to this Indictment, unless otherwise indicated:

### I. The Defendant

2. The defendant MICHAEL FODER was employed as a detective by the New York City Police Department. Between October 2015 and December 2016, FODER was assigned to the 70th Precinct in Brooklyn, New York.

### II. Perjury and Obstruction of Justice

3. On or about December 29, 2016, the defendant MICHAEL FODER testified under oath at a hearing (the "Hearing") held in connection with a federal criminal prosecution of three individuals charged with, among other things, robbery and carjacking (hereinafter, the "Case"), which was pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York. FODER testified under oath about the procedures he

purportedly followed in having a carjacking victim, an individual whose identity is known to the Grand Jury (the "Victim"), identify two of the defendants in the Case.

4. In an attempt to conceal the fact that he had falsified documentation relating to the purported identifications made by the Victim, the defendant MICHAEL FODER lied while under oath during the Hearing in response to questions concerning the identification procedures, including: (a) falsely testifying about when the identification procedures had occurred and (b) falsely claiming that he had witnessed the Victim sign the identifications.

# COUNT ONE (Perjury)

- 5. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through four are realleged and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth in this paragraph.
- 6. On or about December 29, 2016, within the Eastern District of New York, the defendant MICHAEL FODER, while under oath in a proceeding before a court of the United States, to wit: a hearing conducted in <u>United States v. Sasha Honore, et al.</u>, Criminal Docket No. 16-114 (ERK), a case pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York, did knowingly and intentionally make one or more false material declarations, to wit: FODER gave false testimony, including the following underlined testimony:
- (a) Q: I am directing your attention specifically to November 27th of 2015. Did you conduct any photo arrays on that date?
  - A: Yes.

Q:

I'm placing before you a document I've marked, this will be page 3 of

3

A: Yes.

Q: What level of confidence did he indicate?

A: <u>He was very sure about which guy got out of the back seat of the car and got into the front seat.</u>

4

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1623 and 3551 et seq.)

# COUNT TWO (Perjury)

- 7. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through four are realleged and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth in this paragraph.
- 8. On or about December 29, 2016, within the Eastern District of New York, the defendant MICHAEL FODER, while under oath in a proceeding before a court of the United States, to wit: a hearing conducted in <u>United States v. Sasha Honore, et al.</u>, Criminal Docket No. 16-114 (ERK), a case pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York, did knowingly and intentionally make one or more false material declarations, to wit: FODER gave false testimony, including the following underlined testimony:
- (a) Q: Now directing your attention, Detective, to February 14, 2016. Did you administer any photo arrays on that date?

A: Yes.

Q: And who was a witness to whom you were administering an array?

A: Excuse me?

Q: Who were you showing the array to?

	A:	The victim involved in this [the Victim].
	Q:	Same individual.
	A:	Yes sir.
		* * *
(b)	Q:	There appears to be a signature underneath that photograph. Do you recognize that signature?
·	A:	Yes, sir.
	Q:	Whose is that?
	A:	The victim, [Victim].
	Q:	Same signature that we saw on the last array?
	A:	Yes.
	Q:	Did you watch him sign the document?
	A:	<u>I did.</u>
		* * *
(c)	Q:	[I] would like to start with February 14, 2016. That's the day you presented a photo array to the driver of the livery cab, correct?
	A:	Correct.
	Q:	Now how was it that he was contacted on that day and knew to come whererever it was the photo array was conducted?
	A:	It had to have been telephone.

Q: And where was it conducted, by the way, which precinct was it at?

A: The 70th, sir.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1623 and 3551 et seq.)

#### **COUNT THREE**

(Obstruction of Official Proceeding)

9. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through four are realleged and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

York, the defendant MICHAEL FODER did knowingly, intentionally and corruptly obstruct and impede and attempt to obstruct and impede an official proceeding, to wit: <u>United States v. Sasha Honore</u>, *et al.*, Criminal Docket No. 16-114 (ERK), a proceeding before the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1512(c)(2), 2 and 3551 et seq.)

A TRUE BILL

6

RICHARD P. DONOGHUE

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

F. #2017R0577 FORM DBD-34 JUN. 85

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
CRIMINAL DIVISION	÷
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
vs.	
MICHAEL FODER,	Defendant.
INDICTMENT	
(T. 18, U.S.C., §§ 1512(c)(2), 1623, 2 and 3551	et seq.)
A true bill. Monica alfally	 Foreperson
Filed in open court thisday,	
of A.D. 2018	
	 Clerk
Bail, \$	

Nathan Reilly, Assistant U.S. Attorney (718) 254-6196